World Bridge Tour
THIS IS HOW WE PLAY

Online Contract Bridge League

OCBL JOURNAL

Issue N. 5. Friday, 8 January, 2021

Friday Night Fever

Schedule Today

JANUARY CUP
SEMIFINALS 1/2. 16.00 CET / 10.00 EST

Black	VS	Hill
Israel Seniors	VS	Gupta

JANUARY CUP
FINAL 1/2. 17.45 CET / 11.45 EST
To be defined

JANUARY CUP
FINAL 2/2. 19.30 CET / 13.30 EST
To be defined

For the Semifinals and the Final all tables will be open to kibitzers

LINE-UP

We kindly ask you to remember to send us the line-up in time. It is very important for the tournament to run in the right way.

The OCBL JOURNAL in your MAILBOX

Would you like receiving the OCBL Daily Journal by e-mail for free?

Just drop your e-mail address here: **https://ocbl.org/journal/**

After the Quarterfinals, teams **Black**, **Hill**, **Gupta** and **Israel Seniors** joined the Semifinals.
Four teams, four different stories...

Black won their QF match by 53.1 IMPs; the score includes the 8.1 IMPs carry-over.

After the first round of the QF, Hill was .9 IMPs behind Edmonds: the IMPs difference registered at the table was 5, but a 4.1 IMPs carry-over for Hill almost annihilated Edmond's lead. However, Hill scored no less than 45 IMPs in the second segment, advancing to the SF by 42.1 IMPs.

Halftime, Israel Seniors were leading by 22.1 IMPs versus Gillis (including a 4.1 IMPs carryover in Seniors' favor). Gillis managed to get some IMPs back in the second round and were very close to fill the distance: with one board to go, the England-based team were just 3.1 IMPs behind. But the Seniors broke Gillis' dreams of a comeback by scoring 7 IMPs in the last board.



Adam Grossack providing comments on OCBL matches.
Play the videos:
DAY 1 VIDEO RECAP
https://1drv.ms/v/s!AnD_dDE2VRF0lndvc0QV80w53r8l?e=ohYM0q
ROUND ROBIN VIDEO RECAP
https://1drv.ms/v/s!AnD_dDE2VRF0lnvqoLUxR8kidS3R

Zaleski attempted a comeback, too. They started the 2nd half ot their QF match versus Gupta at -28.1 IMPs (including the 8.1 carryover for Gupta). In the second segment of the QF, after 6 boards, the gap was reduced to 1.1 IMP (Gupta still leading) and was just 2.1 IMPs before the last board. Once more, no comeback in sight: Gupta scored 14 IMPs and won by 16.1 IMPs.

Semifinals are underway:
Black vs **Hill** and **Israel S.** vs **Gupta**



World Bridge Tour
THIS IS HOW WE PLAY

Online Contract Bridge League

OCBL UPCOMING EVENTS

OCBL OPEN LEAGUE
12 January - 27 February 2021
Info: https://ocbl.org/openleague1/

OCBL MIXED LEAGUE
9 January - 21 February 2021
Info: https://ocbl.org/mixedleague1/

JANUARY OPEN TEAMS
18 - 22 January 2021
Info: https://ocbl.org/januaryoteams/

OCBL FEBRUARY CUP
1 - 5 February 2021
Info: https://ocbl.org/februarycup/

FEBRUARY OPEN TEAMS
15 - 19 February 2021
Info: https://ocbl.org/februaryot/

MARCH OPEN TEAMS
8 - 11 March 2021
Info: https://ocbl.org/marchot/

OCBL MARCH CUP
22 - 26 March 2021
Info: https://ocbl.org/marchcup/

OCBL OPEN LEAGUE
30 March - 16 May 2021
Info: https://ocbl.org/openleague2/

OCBL MIXED LEAGUE
27 March - 9 May 2021
Info: https://ocbl.org/mixedleague2/

OCBL APRIL CUP
5 - 9 April 2021
Info: https://ocbl.org/aprilcup/

APRIL OPEN TEAMS
19 - 23 April 2021
Info: https://ocbl.org/aprilot/

OCBL MAY CUP
3 - 7 May 2021
Info: https://ocbl.org/maycup/

MAY OPEN TEAMS
31 May - 4 June 2021
Info: https://ocbl.org/mayot/

OCBL JUNE CUP
4 - 18 June 2021
Info: https://ocbl.org/junecup/

About the OCBL Events:

OCBL OPEN LEAGUE
Play 3 days a week:
Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday
at 21.30 CET (15.30 EST)
Qualification + Knockout

OCBL MIXED LEAGUE
Play 2 days a week:
Saturday and Sunday
at 17.00 CET (11.00 EST)
Qualification + Knockout

OCBL CUP
Play 5 days
Qualification + Knockout

OCBL OPEN TEAMS
Play 5 days (4 in March)
Qualification + Swiss A

The Longest Day

by **Mark Horton**

When the allied forces were set to invade on 6 June 1944 they communicated with the French resistance via Radio Londres. They used lines of a poem by Paul Verlaine firstly to confirm that the invasion would start within 24 hours and then as a call to action - *Blessent mon cœur d'une langueur monotone* (wound my heart with a monotonous languor). Both messages were used in the film that provides my title. In Round 9, the match at table 10 involved Zaleski and de Botton. To have a chance of qualifying one team had to record a big win and hope that other results were favourable.

At the half, de Botton led 17-6, still a long way from what was required. However, the French team then received a call for action - and the heart suit played a pivotal role:

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

	♠ K J 9 5 2 ♡ A 8 7 6 5 4 ♢ — ♣ 5 2	
♠ 10 8 3 ♡ 9 ♢ 10 9 3 2 ♣ A 10 7 6 3		♠ Q 6 4 ♡ 10 3 2 ♢ K Q 7 5 4 ♣ 8 4
	♠ A 7 ♡ K Q J ♢ A J 8 6 ♣ K Q J 9	

Open Room

West	North	East	South
Malinowski	*Volcker*	*de Botton*	*Lévy*
Pass	Pass	Pass	2NT
Pass	4♢*	Pass	4♡
Pass	6♡	All Pass	

4♢ Majors

When you need points bidding 6♡ is a decent speculation, not least because the lead is both round to the strong hand and 'blind'.

West led his ace, but that was the only trick for his side, +980.

21 pairs bid 6♡.

Frédéric Volcker - pic: FFB

Closed Room

West	North	East	South
Combescure	*Hoftaniska*	*Cronier*	*Charlsen*
Pass	Pass	Pass	2♣*
Pass	2NT*	Pass	3♣*
Pass	4♢*	Pass	4♡
All Pass			

2♣ 18-21 NT or unbalanced game forcing
2NT 5-5 majors or 6♡/♠
3♣ Relay
4♢ Void

I confess I am surprised South did not look beyond 4♡. It resulted in the loss of 11 IMPs.

Board 9. Dealer North. EW Vul.

	♠ Q ♡ Q 10 9 6 2 ♢ A 10 3 ♣ K 8 5 3	
♠ 10 5 3 2 ♡ K 5 ♢ 7 4 2 ♣ Q J 6 4		♠ A J 8 7 4 ♡ 8 4 ♢ J 9 5 ♣ 10 7 2
	♠ K 9 6 ♡ A J 7 3 ♢ K Q 8 6 ♣ A 9	

Open Room

West	North	East	South
Malinowski	*Volcker*	*de Botton*	*Lévy*
—	Pass	Pass	1NT
Pass	2♢*	Pass	2NT*
Pass	3♢*	Pass	3♡
Pass	4♡	All Pass	

2♢ Transfer
2NT Strong ♡ support
3♢ Retransfer

West led the ♣4 and declarer won with dummy's king and ran the ♡10. When West won and returned the ♣4 declarer took the rest, +480.

Closed Room

West	North	East	South
Combescure	*Hoftaniska*	*Cronier*	*Charlsen*
—	Pass	Pass	1NT
Pass	2♢*	Pass	3♣*
Pass	3♢*	Pass	3♡
Pass	3♠*	Pass	4♣*
Pass	4♢*	Pass	6♡
All Pass			

2♢ Transfer
3♣ Maximum with ♡
3♢ Retransfer
3♠ Short
4♣ Cuebid
4♢ Cuebid

West led the ♣J and when dummy's king was played East followed with the ten. After taking the ♡K at trick two West switched to the ♠5, and another 11 IMPs were secured.

This was the only table at which the slam was bid - but +480 was recorded on ten occasions.

By scoring 43 unanswered IMPs in the second half Zaleski reached the play-offs.

Combination Corner

by **Mark Horton**

'A little learning is a dangerous thing'
Alexander Pope

Even in a tournament such as this where the overall standard of play is high, it is unusual for the same contract to be reached at every table. However, on the opening deal of Round 8 every one of the 32 players sitting North declared 4♡.

Board 1. Dealer North. None Vul.

	♠ Q 8 ♡ A 9 8 7 3 ♢ 10 9 7 ♣ A K 2	
♠ K 10 6 5 ♡ K J 2 ♢ K J 5 ♣ J 5 4		♠ J 9 7 4 ♡ 10 ♢ 6 4 2 ♣ Q 10 9 6 3
	♠ A 3 2 ♡ Q 6 5 4 ♢ A Q 8 3 ♣ 8 7	

Open Room

West	North	East	South
Johansen	*Black*	*Aaseng*	*Gold*
—	1♡	Pass	2NT*
Pass	3NT*	Pass	4♡
All Pass			

2NT 4+♡, game forcing
3NT Balanced, decent but minimum

East led the ♣10 and declarer won with the ace and ran the ♢9, West winning with the jack and returning the ♣J. Declarer took the king and continued with the ♡7 for the ten, queen and king, West exiting with the ♡2. Declarer put in the nine, and could draw the last trump and play on diamonds for +420.

Closed Room

West	North	East	South
Paske	*Helgemo*	*McIntosh*	*Andresen*
—	1♡	Pass	2NT*
Pass	3NT*	Pass	4♡
All Pass			

2NT Game forcing with hearts
3♡ Minimum

East led the ♢2 and declarer played low from dummy, West winning with the jack and switching to the ♣4. Declarer took the ace and cashed the ♡A which meant he lost two trump tricks, the contract and 10 IMPs.

The best line in the heart suit is the one adopted in this room, offering a 71.78% chance of four tricks in the suit. It was the line selected by the other 30 declarers who all finished one down.

Underbidders and Overbidders

by **Mark Horton**

There is more than one way to set out to win a bridge match. Some players are by nature active, using methods such as wafer thin opening bids and aggressive preempts, believing that such actions can induce errors. Others are content to adopt a more conservative approach, trying to give nothing away whilst being ready to strike should the occasion arise. As the quarterfinals got under way I was on the lookout for examples of both styles of play and miraculously I found some in my match.

On the opening deal West held

♠K Q 9 8 7 4 2 ♡8 ♢4 ♣A J 8 3.

In the Open Room South opened 2NT and West overcalled 3♢ to show a major. When North responded 3♠ to show hearts with his

♠6 ♡Q J 10 9 2 ♢Q 10 9 7 ♣Q 9 2

South's 4♡ on

♠A J 3 ♡K 7 5 4 ♢A K J 8 ♣K 10

ended the auction, +450.

In the Closed Room South started with a strong 1♣ and West's 4♠ overcall was doubled. North led a heart and declarer won with dummy's ace and played a spade to the king, so he was two down, -300, still a 4 IMP gain.

On the next deal EW held

♠6 5 ♡10 ♢A K 9 8 7 6 ♣10 6 5 4

opposite

♠K Q 10 9 7 ♡Q 4 3 2 ♢Q 2 ♣K J.

East opened 1♠ and West responded 1NT. Zaleski had to rebid 2♡ and 2♠ was the final contract, going one down when South led the ♢10 from

♠A J 4 3 ♡K J 9 7 ♢10 4 ♣9 3 2

and a careful defence resulted in one down, +50. Cope was able to rebid 2♢ to show hearts and Crouch passed, the contract making easily, +90 and 5 IMPs for Gupta taking their total to 13.5 including the carryover.

Board 5. Dealer North. NS Vul.

	♠ K 8 7 ♡ J 2 ♢ J 8 6 ♣ A 10 6 3 2	
♠ 10 5 ♡ K Q 10 7 6 ♢ A K 9 7 3 ♣ J		♠ Q 6 3 ♡ 3 ♢ Q 5 4 ♣ K 9 8 7 5 4
	♠ A J 9 4 2 ♡ A 9 8 5 4 ♢ 10 2 ♣ Q	

Open Room

West	North	East	South
Cronier	*de Wijs*	*Zaleski*	*Muller*
—	Pass	Pass	1♠
2♡	2♠	3♣	All Pass

Is 2♡ better than 2♠? The latter gets both suits into the picture, but forces you a level higher.

Was East lucky that North did not double 3♣? Perhaps West would have tried 3♢, which is certainly a better spot this time around.

South led the ♢10 and declarer won with dummy's ace and ran the ♣J, South winning with the queen and playing a second diamond. Declarer won with the queen and played a heart South going up with the ace and exiting with a heart (not easy to find the switch to a low spade). Declarer won with dummy's queen pitching a spade and continued with the ♡K, ruffed with the ♣2 and overruffed by the five, declarer exiting with the ♣K. North won, and with two spades and a club to come that was two down, -100.

Closed Room

West	North	East	South
Crouch	*Volcker*	*Cope*	*Lévy*
—	Pass	Pass	1♠
2NT*	3♠	All Pass	

2NT 5-5, ♡+♢

West led the ♢K and continued with two more rounds of the suit, declarer ruffing , cashing the ♡A and then running the ♣Q, East winning and returned the ♣9. Declarer ruffed with the ♠J, cashed the ♠A, crossed to the ♠K and then played three rounds of clubs, which ensured one more trump trick, still one down and another 5 IMP loss.

Board 9. Dealer North. EW Vul.

	♠ 10 9 ♡ A K 9 8 6 3 ♢ 7 2 ♣ J 7 3	
♠ Q 5 4 ♡ Q 10 ♢ A J 6 5 3 ♣ K 6 2		♠ A 8 7 ♡ 7 5 4 ♢ 9 ♣ A Q 10 9 5 4
	♠ K J 6 3 2 ♡ J 2 ♢ K Q 10 8 4 ♣ 8	

Open Room

West	North	East	South
Cronier	*de Wijs*	*Zaleski*	*Muller*
—	3♡	All Pass	

East led the ♢9 and West won with the ace and unsure of the position switched to the ♡10, declarer winning with the ace and playing the ♠10. East went up with the ace and exited with a trump. Declarer could draw trumps and even though he then guessed to try and set up diamonds rather than spades he had nine tricks, +140.

From East's point of view he could see that declarer had at least six heart tricks (if he has an unlikely seven hearts headed by the ♡AKQ there is nothing to be done) and will almost certainly be able to establish one of dummy's suits, so the only chance must be to find partner with the ♣K and get a diamond ruff.

Closed Room

West	North	East	South
Crouch	*Volcker*	*Cope*	*Lévy*
—	2♡	3♣	3♡
Dble*	Pass	3♠	Pass
4♣	All Pass		

South led the ♡J and North won with the king and switched to the ♠10, South winning with the king and returning the ♠6. Declarer won with the ace and played a heart to the ten and ace, North exiting with the ♢2 for the nine, queen and ace. Declarer ruffed a diamond and played a heart. When South ruffed in with the ♣8 declarer overruffed and played a club to the queen, but when South discarded he was one down, -100, and only a 1 IMP swing. Having taken dummy's ♢A declarer could return to hand with a club and only then play a heart. The only way to be sure of defeating 4♣ is for South to lead a trump at trick one - another deal for Brother Hubert's notebook.

Board 11. Dealer South. None Vul.

	♠ 8 6 3 ♡ 7 4 ♢ A Q J 6 3 ♣ 10 9 8	
♠ 5 4 2 ♡ K J 6 3 ♢ 5 4 ♣ 7 6 3 2		♠ A K Q 9 7 ♡ A 10 5 ♢ 8 7 ♣ K 5 4
	♠ J 10 ♡ Q 9 8 2 ♢ K 10 9 2 ♣ A Q J	

Open Room

West	North	East	South
Cronier	*de Wijs*	*Zaleski*	*Muller*
—	—	—	1♢*
Pass	1NT	2♠	Pass
Pass	3♢	Pass	Pass
3♠	All Pass		

1♢ 2+♢,11-15

South led the ♢10 and North won with the ace and switched to the ♡4 for the ten, queen and king. Declarer drew trumps and then played on hearts, finishing one down, -50.

As the cards lie, declarer can take advantage of the club position. Suppose after three rounds of spades declarer exits with a diamond and North wins and plays a club, South winning and exiting with a diamond. Declarer ruffs and cashes the last trump, squeezing South.

If North switches to a club at trick two and South wins, the defenders will prevail as long as South does not cash the ♢K.

Closed Room

West	North	East	South
Crouch	*Volcker*	*Cope*	*Lévy*
—	—	—	1♢
Pass	3♢*	3♠	All Pass

3♢ Weak

South again led the ♢10 and North won with the ace and switched to the ♣10, South winning with the jack. He cashed the ♢K and exited with the ♠J, but declarer won, drew trumps, cashed another spade and played the ♡10 for the queen and king. A heart to the ace was followed by the last trump and South threw the ♣Q. Declarer exited with the ♣5 and claimed, +140 and 5 IMPs.



Romain Zaleski

Philippe Cronier

Board 12. Dealer West. NS Vul.

	♠ 9 8 7 ♡ 6 4 ◇ J 10 7 6 5 3 ♣ 7 6	
♠ Q J 5 4 ♡ Q 10 8 ◇ K Q 8 ♣ A 8 4		♠ K 10 3 ♡ 9 7 3 ◇ A 9 ♣ K Q J 9 5
	♠ A 6 2 ♡ A K J 5 2 ◇ 4 2 ♣ 10 3 2	

Open Room

West	North	East	South
Cronier	*de Wijs*	*Zaleski*	*Muller*
1♣	Pass	2♣*	2♡
Pass	Pass	3♣	All Pass

The defenders started with three rounds of hearts, North ruffing and exiting with a spade, +110.

East had broken one of the basic tenets - when your partner opens the bidding and you also have an opening bid you must ensure that you reach game.

If East does not want to bid 3♡ on the second round why not double 3♡? If partner passes it is not too difficult to hold declarer to five tricks.

Closed Room

West	North	East	South
Crouch	*Volcker*	*Cope*	*Lévy*
1NT*	Pass	2NT*	Pass
3♣*	Pass	3NT	All Pass

1NT 14-16
2NT Asks for a five-card major
3♣ At least one 4-card major

North led the ♠9 and South won with the ace and cashed the ♡K, followed by the ace, declarer claiming the rest, +430 and an unexpected 8 IMPs.

With the carry over Gupta led by 28.1 IMPs.

Simon Cope

Online Bridge NEWS

UNITED BRIDGE SPONSORS GIVE A SECOND CHANCE AND POSTPONE THE DEADLINE

Yesterday (January 7, 2021) Gary Donner has posted a new thread on Bridgewinners on behalf United Bridge Sponsors*.

Here is a recap:

- On December 14, 2020, The United Bridge Sponsors had asked players who had been dis-invited from online bridge competitions to either confess and accept some recommended consequences or ask for an unbiased investigation. The deadline that was proposed was January 7, 2021.
- The United Bridge Sponsors have decided to change the **deadline** to **January 21, 2021**.

The full text is available at:
https://bridgewinners.com/article/view/a-chance-to-clear-the-air-and-start-over-part-2/

*United Bridge Sponsors are a group of prominent sponsors of professional bridge, including:
USA: Margie Tucker Cole, John Diamond, Gary Donner, Jodie Edmonds, Marty Fleisher, Naren Gupta, Vinita Gupta, Joyce Hill, Geeske Joel, Barbara Kasle, Gaylor Kasle, John McAllister, Rose Meltzer, Joan Millens, Reese Milner, Gillian Miniter, Nick Nickell, Linda Robinson, Andrew Rosenthal, Marty Seligman, Warren Spector, Stan Tulin, Allison Wilson, Jeff Wolfson, Daniel Zagorin
EUROPE: Andrew Black, Herman Drenkelford, Jonathan Harris, Mark Moran, Erikas Vainikonis, Vytautas Vainikonis, Juan Carlos Ventin, Pierre Zimmermann
ASIA: Mr Ji Chen (sponsor of PD Times & Pioneers Bridge Club), Mr Yicheng Tian (General Manager of Zhejiang Qiantang Bridge Club), Mr Dade Wang (sponsor of Jinshuo Bridge Club),
Mr Chen Zhao (Captain of team Zhao in ALT and OCBL events).

F2F Bridge Updates

Are you wondering whether the scheduled F2F events are taking place in 2021 or not?

Well, we all are. The obvious answer is that... nobody knows, since it depends on how things will go on in the next months. Anyway, we are constantly checking and giving updates about how organizers' (EBL, WBF, ACBL...) reactions and announcements.

Yesterday (January 7, 2021), the European Bridge League has released a statement. They "fully intend to arrange the European National Teams and Women/Seniors Pairs Championships as scheduled from June 12-22 in Funchal/Madeira."

"Appropriate measures to mitigate exposure to the virus will be implemented on site, and applied to all participants.This is, of course, subject to any entry or other restrictions imposed by the local government at the time."

Yesterday's Quiz

Dealer South. E/W Vulnerable.

West	North	East	South
1♣*	3♢	?	

* strong

Your cards as East:



Yesterday we have asked the quiz above.

Barry Rigal suggested he would bid 3NT ("Yes it could be very silly… I've been silly before!"), while Brad Moss and David Gold would Double.

Here is what happened at the table…

The Scientists

by **Mark Horton**

The Editor is constantly on the lookout for interesting bidding/lead problems. In Round 6 I suggested this deal might be suitable as you could use it both ways - first as a bidding problem and then as a question of what to lead:

Board 3. Dealer South. EW Vul.

	♠ 10 5 2 ♡ — ♢ Q J 8 7 6 5 ♣ A 10 5 2	
♠ A Q J 6 4 ♡ A K Q J 5 ♢ 2 ♣ J 4		♠ K 8 ♡ 9 7 6 3 2 ♢ A 4 3 ♣ Q 9 3
	♠ 9 7 3 ♡ 10 8 4 ♢ K 10 9 ♣ K 8 7 6	

My suggestion was centered around what East should do if West opened a Strong 1♣ and North overcalled 3♢. In one auction I saw East doubled to show 7+ balanced and then bid 4♡ over South's 4♢ which saw West bid 6♡. South led the ♢10, so +1460 - and 17 IMPs as North (Janis Bethers) led the ♣A against 6♡ in the other room.

Another option for East in the strong ♣ scenario is to bid 3♡. That happened once and West launched RKCB and went on to 6♡, South leading the ♢K. It was another dull push.

Hugh McGann opened 1♣ and when North overcalled 3♢ Tom Hanlon passed and then bid 4♡ over his partner's 4♢ - matching the contract at the other table.

North is equally sure to overcall if West opens 1♠ and there were votes for 2♢, 3♢ and 4♢.

Open Room

West	North	East	South
Crouch	*O Rimstedt*	*Cope*	*M Rimstedt*
—	—	—	Pass
1♠	3♢	Dble*	4♢
6♡	All Pass		

Dble 4+♡

North led the ♣A and continued with the ♣2 to pick up 13 IMPs - they had stopped in 4♡ in the other room.

It occurred to me that in this situation you could use transfer responses after an opening bid of 1♣, but I'm not sure anyone is doing that yet?

Here's another variation:

Open Room

West	North	East	South
Klukowski	*Birman*	*Gawrys*	*Lakatos*
—	—	—	Pass
1♣*	3♢	Pass	Pass
4♢	Pass	5♢	Dble
5♡	Pass	6♡	All Pass

1♣ Polish ♣

North led the ♢Q so +1460 - but only a flat board!

No less than 18 pairs advanced as far as 6♡- and half of them were allowed to make it.

JANUARY CUP - RESULTS

QUARTERFINALS 1/2

Black (+8.1)	23 IMPs TOTAL: 31.1	4 IMPs TOTAL: 4	Skeidar
OPEN ROOM N: Hallberg G. S: Hult S.	CLOSED ROOM E: McIntosh A. W: Paske T.	OPEN ROOM E: Aaseng L. W: Johansen T.	CLOSED ROOM N: Helgemo G. S: Andresen M.

SCORE: https://webutil.bridgebase.com/v2/tview.php?t=74884-1610029009

Hill (+4.1)	13 IMPs TOTAL: 17.1	18 IMPs TOTAL: 18	Edmonds
OPEN ROOM N: Rimstedt O. S: Rimstedt M.	CLOSED ROOM E: Huang S. W: Dwyer K.	OPEN ROOM E: J. Gawrys P. W: Klukowski M.	CLOSED ROOM N: Wooldridge S: J. Edmonds

SCORE: https://webutil.bridgebase.com/v2/tview.php?t=74892-1610029076

Israel S. (+4.1)	31 IMPs TOTAL: 35.1	13 IMPs TOTAL: 13	Gillis
OPEN ROOM N: Orenstein E. S: Yadlin D.	CLOSED ROOM E: Engel Y. W: Frydrich J.	OPEN ROOM E: Gillis S. W: Svendsen O.	CLOSED ROOM N: Lindqvist E. S: Brogeland B.

SCORE: https://webutil.bridgebase.com/v2/tview.php?t=74899-1610029124

Gupta (+8.1)	26 IMPs TOTAL: 34.1	6 IMPs TOTAL: 6	Zaleski
OPEN ROOM N: de Wijs S. S: Muller B.	CLOSED ROOM E: Cope S. W: Crouch P.	OPEN ROOM E: Zaleski R. W: Cronier P.	CLOSED ROOM N: Volcker F. S: Lévy A.

SCORE: https://webutil.bridgebase.com/v2/tview.php?t=74905-1610029167

QUARTERFINALS 2/2

Black (+8.1)	48 IMPs TOTAL: 79.1	22 IMPs TOTAL: 26	Skeidar
OPEN ROOM N: Hallberg G. S: Hult S.	CLOSED ROOM E: Black A. W: Gold D.	OPEN ROOM E: Aaseng L. W: Johansen T.	CLOSED ROOM N: Helgemo G. S: Andresen M.

SCORE: https://webutil.bridgebase.com/v2/tview.php?t=75570-1610033269

Hill (+4.1)	45 IMPs TOTAL: 62.1	2 IMPs TOTAL: 20	Edmonds
OPEN ROOM N: Rimstedt O. S: Rimstedt M.	CLOSED ROOM E: Huang S. W: Dwyer K.	OPEN ROOM E: J. Gawrys P. W: Klukowski M.	CLOSED ROOM N: Wooldridge S: J. Edmonds

SCORE: https://webutil.bridgebase.com/v2/tview.php?t=75597-1610033349

Israel S. (+4.1)	7 IMPs TOTAL: 42.1	19 IMPs TOTAL: 32	Gillis
OPEN ROOM N: Mintz Y. S: Limor D.	CLOSED ROOM E: Orenstein E. W: Yadlin D.	OPEN ROOM E: Saelensminde E. W: Svendsen O.	CLOSED ROOM N: Lindqvist E. S: Brogeland B.

SCORE: https://webutil.bridgebase.com/v2/tview.php?t=75625-1610033398

Gupta (+8.1)	17 IMPs TOTAL: 51.1	29 IMPs TOTAL: 35	Zaleski
OPEN ROOM N: de Wijs S. S: Muller B.	CLOSED ROOM E: Gupta N. W: Zia Mahmood	OPEN ROOM E: Combescure F. W: Cronier P.	CLOSED ROOM N: Volcker F. S: Lévy A.

SCORE: https://webutil.bridgebase.com/v2/tview.php?t=75680-1610033448

SEMIFINAL 1/2

Hill	35 IMPs TOTAL: 35	28 IMPs TOTAL: 28.1	Black (+0.1)
OPEN ROOM N: Rimstedt O. S: Rimstedt M.	CLOSED ROOM E: Huang S. W: Dwyer K.	OPEN ROOM E: McIntosh A. W: Paske T.	CLOSED ROOM N: Black A. S: Gold D.

SCORE: https://webutil.bridgebase.com/v2/tview.php?t=78102-1610044865

Gupta (+0.1)	26 IMPs TOTAL: 26.1	21 IMPs TOTAL: 21	Israel S.
OPEN ROOM N: de Wijs S. S: Muller B.	CLOSED ROOM E: Cope S. W: Crouch P.	OPEN ROOM E: Mintz Y. W: Limor D.	CLOSED ROOM N: Engel Y. S: Frydrich J.

SCORE: https://webutil.bridgebase.com/v2/tview.php?t=78103-1610044868

World Bridge Tour
THIS IS HOW WE PLAY

Online Contract Bridge League

OCBL OPEN LEAGUE

12 JANUARY - 27 FEBRUARY 2021

The new event!

Play on every
Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday
at 21.30 CET (15.30 EST)

Info and pre-registration: WWW.OCBL.ORG